

Physik-Institut

# Kern- und Teilchenphysik II Lecture 1: QCD

(adapted from the Handout of Prof. Mark Thomson)

Prof. Nico Serra Dr. Marcin Chrzaszcz Dr. Annapaola De Cosa (guest lecturer)

www.physik.uzh.ch/de/lehre/PHY213/FS2017.html

- **★**The theory of the strong interaction, Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), is very similar to QED but with 3 conserved "colour" charges
  - In QED:
    - the electron carries one unit of charge -e
    - the anti-electron carries one unit of anti-charge +e
    - the force is mediated by a massless "gauge boson" – the photon
  - In QCD:
    - quarks carry colour charge: r, g, b
    - anti-quarks carry anti-charge:  $\overline{r}, \overline{g}, \overline{b}$
    - The force is mediated by massless gluons
  - **★** In QCD, the strong interaction is invariant under rotations in colour space  $r \leftrightarrow b; r \leftrightarrow g; b \leftrightarrow g$

i.e. the same for all three colours

•This is an exact symmetry, unlike the approximate uds flavour symmetry discussed previously.

SU(3) colour symmetry









### Symmetries and Conservation Laws

**★**Suppose physics is invariant under the transformation

 $\psi 
ightarrow \psi' = \hat{U} \psi$  e.g. rotation of the coordinate axes

To conserve probability normalisation require

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi' | \psi' \rangle = \langle \hat{U} \psi | \hat{U} \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{U}^{\dagger} \hat{U} | \psi \rangle$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \quad \hat{U}^{\dagger} \hat{U} = 1$ 
i.e.  $\hat{U}$  has to be unitary

•For physical predictions to be unchanged by the symmetry transformation, also require all QM matrix elements unchanged

$$\begin{split} \langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle &= \langle \psi' | \hat{H} | \psi' \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{U}^{\dagger} \hat{H} \hat{U} | \psi \rangle \\ \text{i.e. require} & \hat{U}^{\dagger} \hat{H} \hat{U} = \hat{H} \\ &\times \hat{U} & \hat{U} \hat{U}^{\dagger} \hat{H} \hat{U} = \hat{U} \hat{H} \implies \hat{H} \hat{U} = \hat{U} \hat{H} \\ \text{therefore} & [\hat{H}, \hat{U}] = 0 & \hat{U} \text{ commutes with the Hamiltonian} \\ \star \text{Now consider the infinitesimal transformation} \quad (\mathcal{E} \text{ small}) \end{split}$$

$$\hat{U} = 1 + i\varepsilon\hat{G}$$

(  $\hat{G}$  is called the generator of the transformation)



• For  $\hat{U}$  to be unitary  $\hat{U}\hat{U}^{\dagger} = (1 + i\varepsilon\hat{G})(1 - i\varepsilon\hat{G}^{\dagger}) = 1 + i\varepsilon(\hat{G} - \hat{G}^{\dagger}) + O(\varepsilon^2)$ neglecting terms in  $\mathcal{E}^2$   $UU^{\dagger} = 1 \implies \hat{G} = \hat{G}^{\dagger}$ i.e.  $\hat{G}$  is Hermitian and therefore corresponds to an observable quantity G ! •Furthermore,  $[\hat{H}, \hat{U}] = 0 \Rightarrow [\hat{H}, 1 + i\varepsilon \hat{G}] = 0 \Rightarrow [\hat{H}, \hat{G}] = 0$  $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\langle\hat{G}\rangle = i\langle[\hat{H},\hat{G}]\rangle = 0$ But from QM i.e. *G* is a conserved quantity. Symmetry  $\iff$  Conservation Law **★** For each symmetry of nature have an observable <u>conserved</u> quantity **Example:** Infinitesimal spatial translation  $x \rightarrow x + \varepsilon$ i.e. expect physics to be invariant under  $\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi' = \psi(x + \varepsilon)$  $\psi'(x) = \psi(x + \varepsilon) = \psi(x) + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\varepsilon = \left(1 + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\psi(x)$ but  $\hat{p}_x = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rightarrow \psi'(x) = (1 + i\varepsilon \hat{p}_x)\psi(x)$ The generator of the symmetry transformation is  $\hat{p}_x \rightarrow p_x$  is conserved

•Translational invariance of physics implies momentum conservation !



### Symmetries and Conservation Laws

- In general the symmetry operation may depend on more than one parameter  $\hat{U}=1+i\vec{\varepsilon}.\vec{G}$ 

For example for an infinitesimal 3D linear translation :  $\vec{r} \rightarrow \vec{r} + \vec{\mathcal{E}}$ 

• So far have only considered an infinitesimal transformation, however a finite transformation can be expressed as a series of infinitesimal transformations

$$\hat{U}(\vec{\alpha}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( 1 + i \frac{\vec{\alpha}}{n} \cdot \vec{G} \right)^n = e^{i \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{G}}$$

**Example:** Finite spatial translation in 1D:  $x \to x + x_0$  with  $\hat{U}(x_0) = e^{ix_0\hat{p}_x}$ 

$$\psi'(x) = \psi(x+x_0) = \hat{U}\psi(x) = \exp\left(x_0\frac{d}{dx}\right)\psi(x) \qquad \left(p_x = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)$$
$$= \left(1+x_0\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{x_0^2}{2!}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \dots\right)\psi(x)$$
$$= \psi(x) + x_0\frac{d\psi}{dx} + \frac{x_0^2}{2}\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + \dots$$

i.e. obtain the expected Taylor expansion

Mark Thomson/Nico Serra



### Isospin

•The proton and neutron have very similar masses and the nuclear force is found to be approximately charge-independent, i.e.

$$V_{pp} \approx V_{np} \approx V_{nn}$$

•To reflect this symmetry, Heisenberg (1932) proposed that if you could "switch off" the electric charge of the proton

There would be no way to distinguish between a proton and neutron

 Proposed that the neutron and proton should be considered as two states of a single entity; the nucleon

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad n = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

**★** Analogous to the spin-up/spin-down states of a spin-½ particle

ISOSPIN

- **★** Expect physics to be invariant under rotations in this space
- •The neutron and proton form an isospin doublet with total isospin  $I = \frac{1}{2}$  and third component  $I_3 = \pm \frac{1}{2}$



# Flavour Symmetry

We can extend this idea to the quarks:

**★** Assume the strong interaction treats all quark flavours equally (it does)

•Because  $m_u \approx m_d$ :

The strong interaction possesses an approximate flavour symmetry i.e. from the point of view of the strong interaction nothing changes if all up quarks are replaced by down quarks and *vice versa*.

Choose the basis

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Express the invariance of the strong interaction under  $u \leftrightarrow d$  as invariance under "rotations" in an abstract isospin space

$$\begin{pmatrix} u' \\ d' \end{pmatrix} = \hat{U} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}$$

The 2x2 unitary matrix depends on 4 complex numbers, i.e. 8 real parameters But there are four constraints from  $\hat{U}^{\dagger}\hat{U} = 1$ 

8 – 4 = 4 independent matrices

•In the language of group theory the four matrices form the U(2) group



# Flavour Symmetry

One of the matrices corresponds to multiplying by a phase factor

$$\hat{U}_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) e^{i\phi}$$

not a flavour transformation and of no relevance here.

- The remaining three matrices form an SU(2) group (special unitary) with  $\det U = 1$
- For an infinitesimal transformation, in terms of the Hermitian generators  $\hat{G}$

• det 
$$U = 1$$
  $\implies$   $Tr(\hat{G}) = 0$   $\hat{U} = 1 + i\epsilon\hat{G}$ 

- A linearly independent choice for  $\,\hat{G}\,$  are the Pauli spin matrices

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- The proposed flavour symmetry of the strong interaction has the same transformation properties as SPIN !
- Define ISOSPIN:  $\vec{T} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}$   $\hat{U} = e^{i\vec{\alpha}.\vec{T}}$
- Check this works, for an infinitesimal transformation

$$\hat{U} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}i\vec{\varepsilon}.\vec{\sigma} = 1 + \frac{i}{2}(\varepsilon_1\sigma_1 + \varepsilon_2\sigma_2 + \varepsilon_3\sigma_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{1}{2}i\varepsilon_3 & \frac{1}{2}i(\varepsilon_1 - i\varepsilon_2)\\ \frac{1}{2}i(\varepsilon_1 + i\varepsilon_2) & 1 - \frac{1}{2}i\varepsilon_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Which is, as required, unitary and has unit determinant

$$U^{\dagger}U = I + O(\varepsilon^2)$$
 det  $U = 1 + O(\varepsilon^2)$ 

Mark Thomson/Nico Serra



### **Properties of Isospin**

Isospin has the exactly the same properties as spin

$$[T_1, T_2] = iT_3 \quad [T_2, T_3] = iT_1 \quad [T_3, T_1] = iT_2$$
$$[T^2, T_3] = 0 \qquad T^2 = T_1^2 + T_2^2 + T_3^2$$

As in the case of spin, have three non-commuting operators,  $T_1, T_2, T_3$  and even though all three correspond to observables, can't know them simultaneously. So label states in terms of total isospin I and the third component of isospin  $I_3$ 

**NOTE:** isospin has nothing to do with spin – just the same mathematics

- The eigenstates are exact analogues of the eigenstates of ordinary angular momentum  $|s,m\rangle \rightarrow |I,I_3\rangle$ with  $T^2|I,I_3\rangle = I(I+1)|I,I_3\rangle$   $T_3|I,I_3\rangle = I_3|I,I_3\rangle$
- In terms of isospin:

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = |\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \rangle \qquad d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = |\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \rangle$$

$$d \qquad u$$

$$I = \frac{1}{2}, I_3 = \pm \frac{1}{2}$$

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{2}(N_u - N_d)$$

Mark Thomson/Nico Serra

• In



## **Properties of Isospin**

Can define isospin ladder operators – analogous to spin ladder operators

$$T_{-} \equiv T_{1} - iT_{2}$$

$$T_{+} = T_{1} - iT_{2}$$

$$T_{-} = T_{1} - iT_{2}$$

• Ladder operators turn  $u \rightarrow d$  and  $d \rightarrow u$ 

★ Combination of isospin: e.g. what is the isospin of a system of two d quarks, is exactly analogous to combination of spin (i.e. angular momentum)

$$|I^{(1)}, I^{(1)}_3\rangle |I^{(2)}, I^{(2)}_3\rangle \to |I, I_3|$$
•  $I_3$  additive :  $I_3 = I^{(1)}_3 + I^{(2)}_3$ 

- *I* in integer steps from  $|I^{(1)} I^{(2)}|$  to  $|I^{(1)} + I^{(2)}|$
- ★ Assumed symmetry of Strong Interaction under isospin transformations implies the existence of conserved quantites
- In strong interactions  $I_3$  and I are conserved, analogous to conservation of  $J_z$  and J for angular momentum



- ★ Extend these ideas to include the strange quark. Since  $m_s > m_u, m_d$  don't have an <u>exact symmetry</u>. But  $m_s$  not so very different from  $m_u, m_d$  and can treat the strong interaction (and resulting hadron states) as if it were symmetric under  $u \leftrightarrow d \leftrightarrow s$ 
  - NOTE: any results obtained from this assumption are only approximate as the symmetry is not exact.
  - The assumed uds flavour symmetry can be expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} u' \\ d' \\ s' \end{pmatrix} = \hat{U} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} & U_{13} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} & U_{23} \\ U_{31} & U_{32} & U_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \end{pmatrix}$$

- The 3x3 unitary matrix depends on 9 complex numbers, i.e. 18 real parameters There are 9 constraints from  $\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U} = 1$ 

Can form 18 – 9 = 9 linearly independent matrices

These 9 matrices form a U(3) group.

- As before, one matrix is simply the identity multiplied by a complex phase and is of no interest in the context of flavour symmetry
- The remaining 8 matrices have  $\det U = 1$  and form an SU(3) group
- The eight matrices (the Hermitian generators) are:  $ec{T}=rac{1}{2}ec{\lambda}$   $\hat{U}=e^{iec{lpha}.ec{T}}$



SU(3) Flavour

**★In SU(3)** flavour, the three quark states are represented by:

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In SU(3) uds flavour symmetry contains SU(2) ud flavour symmetry which allows us to write the first three matrices:

$$\lambda_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \lambda_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \lambda_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
  
i.e. 
$$\mathbf{u} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{d} \quad \lambda_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \lambda_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \lambda_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• The third component of isospin is now written  $I_3 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3$ 

with 
$$I_3 u = +\frac{1}{2}u$$
  $I_3 d = -\frac{1}{2}d$   $I_3 s = 0$ 

- $I_3$  "counts the number of up quarks number of down quarks in a state
- As before, ladder operators  $T_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 \pm i\lambda_2)$   $d \bullet \longleftarrow T_{\pm} \longrightarrow \bullet u$



# SU(3) Flavour

Now consider the matrices corresponding to the u ↔ s and d ↔ s

$$\mathbf{u} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{s} \quad \lambda_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \lambda_{5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{d} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{s} \quad \lambda_{6} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \lambda_{7} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Hence in addition to  $\lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  have two other traceless diagonal matrices

• However the three diagonal matrices are not be independent. •Define the eighth matrix,  $\lambda_8$ , as the linear combination:

 $\lambda_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \overset{I}{\longrightarrow} \overset{I}$ 

which specifies the "vertical position" in the 2D plane

"Only need two axes (quantum numbers) to specify a state in the 2D plane": (I<sub>3</sub>,Y)





#### **★**The other six matrices form six ladder operators which step between the states



Mark Thomson/Nico Serra



**★** Represent r, g, b SU(3) colour states by:

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- **★** Colour states can be labelled by two quantum numbers:
  - $I_3^c$  colour isospin
  - Y<sup>c</sup> colour hypercharge

Exactly analogous to labelling u,d,s flavour states by  $I_3$  and Y

**★** Each quark (anti-quark) can have the following colour quantum numbers:





## Quark-gluon interaction

•Representing the colour part of the fermion wave-functions by:

$$r = c_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
  $g = c_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$   $b = c_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

•Particle wave-functions  $u(p) \longrightarrow c_i u(p)$ 

•The QCD qqg vertex is written:

$$\overline{u}(p_3)c_j^{\dagger}\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda^a\gamma^{\mu}\}c_iu(p_1)$$

- •Only difference w.r.t. QED is the insertion of the 3x3 SU(3) Gell-Mann matrices
- Isolating the colour part:

$$c_{j}^{\dagger}\lambda^{a}c_{i} = c_{j}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1i}^{a} \\ \lambda_{2i}^{a} \\ \lambda_{3i}^{a} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_{ji}^{a}$$

1 a

•Hence the fundamental quark - gluon QCD interaction can be written  $\overline{u}(p_3)c_j^{\dagger}\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda^a\gamma^{\mu}\}c_iu(p_1)\equiv\overline{u}(p_3)\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda_{ji}^a\gamma^{\mu}\}u(p_1)$ 





## Feynman Rules for QCD



17



#### **★** Consider QCD scattering of an up and a down quark



- •The incoming and out-going quark colours are labelled by  $i, j, k, l = \{1, 2, 3\}$  (or  $\{r, g, b\}$ )
- In terms of colour this scattering is  $ik \rightarrow jl$
- The 8 different gluons are accounted for by the colour indices a, b = 1, 2, ..., 8
- •NOTE: the  $\delta$ -function in the propagator ensures a = b, i.e. the gluon "emitted" at a is the same as that "absorbed" at b
- **★** Applying the Feynman rules:

$$-iM = \left[\overline{u}_u(p_3)\left\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda^a_{ji}\gamma^\mu\right\}u_u(p_1)\right]\frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{q^2}\delta^{ab}\left[\overline{u}_d(p_4)\left\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda^b_{lk}\gamma^\nu\right\}u_d(p_2)\right]$$

where summation over a and b (and  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ ) is implied.

**★** Summing over **a** and **b** using the  $\delta$ -function gives:

$$M = -\frac{g_s^2}{4} \lambda_{ji}^a \lambda_{lk}^a \frac{1}{q^2} g_{\mu\nu} [\overline{u}_u(p_3) \gamma^{\mu} u_u(p_1)] [\overline{u}_d(p_4) \gamma^{\nu} u_d(p_2)]$$

Sum over all 8 gluons (repeated indices)



## QED vs QCD



 $C(ik \rightarrow jl) \equiv \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a=1}^{8} \lambda_{ji}^{a} \lambda_{lk}^{a}$ 

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### QCD colour factors reflect the gluon states that are involved

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

Configurations involving a single colour

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### QCD colour factors reflect the gluon states that are involved

$\lambda^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\lambda^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\lambda^{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\lambda^{5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\lambda^{6} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\lambda^{7} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\lambda^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\lambda^{8} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$	
<b>Gluons:</b> $r\overline{g}, g\overline{r}$	$r\overline{b}, b\overline{r}$	$(\overline{b}, b\overline{g})$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(r\overline{r} - g\overline{g})  \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(r\overline{r} + g\overline{g} - 2b\overline{b})$	

#### Configurations involving a single colour

•Only matrices with non-zero entries in 11 position are involved  $C(rr \rightarrow rr) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a=1}^{8} \lambda_{11}^{a} \lambda_{11}^{a} = \frac{1}{4} (\lambda_{11}^{3} \lambda_{11}^{3} + \lambda_{11}^{8} \lambda_{11}^{8})$   $= \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3} \right) = \frac{1}{3}$ Similarly find  $C(rr \rightarrow rr) = C(gg \rightarrow gg) = C(bb \rightarrow bb) = \frac{1}{3}$ 

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Mark Thomson/Nico Serra

Jniversität

Physik-Institut

### Universität Zürich<sup>vz#</sup> QCD Color Factor (anti-quark)

- Recall the colour part of wave-function:
- The QCD qqg vertex was written:

$$\overline{u}(p_3)c_j^{\dagger}\left\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda^a\gamma^{\mu}\right\}c_iu(p_1)$$

- **\***Now consider the anti-quark vertex
  - The QCD  $\overline{q}\overline{q}g$  vertex is:

$$\overline{v}(p_1)c_i^{\dagger}\left\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda^a\gamma^{\mu}\right\}c_jv(p_3)$$

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

Note that the incoming anti-particle now enters on the LHS of the expression

For which the colour part is $c_i^{\dagger} \lambda^a c_j = c_i^{\dagger} \left( \right)$	$ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1j}^a \\ \lambda_{2j}^a \\ \lambda_{3j}^a \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_{ij}^a $	i.e indices <i>ij</i> are swapped with respect to the quark case
---	---	--

• Hence  $\overline{v}(p_1)c_i^{\dagger}\left\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda^a\gamma^{\mu}\right\}c_jv(p_3)\equiv\overline{v}(p_1)\left\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda_{ij}^a\gamma^{\mu}\right\}v(p_3)$ 

c.f. the quark - gluon QCD interaction

$$\overline{u}(p_3)c_j^{\dagger}\left\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda^a\gamma^{\mu}\right\}c_iu(p_1)\equiv\overline{u}(p_3)\left\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda_{ji}^a\gamma^{\mu}\right\}u(p_1)$$

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Quark-Antiquark annihilation

#### **★**Finally we can consider the quark – anti-quark annihilation

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

Consequently the colour factors for the different diagrams are:

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Quark-antiquark scattering

- •Consider the process  $u + d \rightarrow u + d$  which can occur in the high energy proton-proton scattering
- There are nine possible colour configurations of the colliding quarks which are all equally likely.
- Need to determine the average matrix element which is the sum over all possible colours divided by the number of possible initial colour states

$$\langle |M_{fi}|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^3 |M_{fi}(ij \to kl)|^2$$

• The colour average matrix element contains the average colour factor

$$\langle |C|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^3 |C(ij \to kl)|^2$$

•For 
$$qq \rightarrow qq$$
  
 $\langle |C|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{9} \left[ 3 \times \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + 6 \times \left(-\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 + 6 \times \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \right] = \frac{2}{9}$ 

Mark Thomson/Nico Serra

iet

### Quark-antiquark scattering

•Previously derived the Lorentz Invariant cross section for  $e^-\mu^- \rightarrow e^-\mu^$ elastic scattering in the ultra-relativistic limit (handout 6).

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

Physik-Institut

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}q^2} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{q^4} \left[ 1 + \left(1 + \frac{q^2}{s}\right)^2 \right]$$

•For ud  $\rightarrow$  ud in QCD replace  $lpha \rightarrow lpha_s$  and multiply by  $\langle |C|^2 
angle$ 

QCD	$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}q^2} = \frac{2}{9} \frac{2\pi\alpha_S^2}{q^4}$	$\left[1 + \left(1 + \frac{q^2}{\hat{s}}\right)^2\right]$	Never see colour, but enters through colour factors. Can tell QCD is SU(3)
		L _	X

Here \$\heta\$ is the centre-of-mass energy of the quark-quark collision
The calculation of hadron-hadron scattering is very involved, need to include parton structure functions and include all possible interactions
e.g. two jet production in proton-antiproton collisions

![](_page_26_Figure_7.jpeg)

### Universität Zürich<sup>wat</sup> Running Coupling Constant

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

- **★** Same final state so add matrix element amplitudes:  $M = M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + ...$
- ★ Giving an infinite series which can be summed and is equivalent to a single diagram with "running" coupling constant

$$\alpha(Q^2) = \alpha(Q_0^2) \left/ \left[ 1 \ominus \frac{\alpha(Q_0^2)}{3\pi} \ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{Q_0^2}\right) \right] \right.$$
  
Note sign 
$$Q^2 \gg Q_0^2$$

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

Physik-Institut

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Running Coupling Constant

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

★ Might worry that coupling becomes infinite at

$$\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{Q_0^2}\right) = \frac{3\pi}{1/137}$$

i.e. at

$$Q \sim 10^{26} \,\mathrm{GeV}$$

- But quantum gravity effects would come in way below this energy and it is highly unlikely that QED "as is" would be valid in this regime
- ★ In QED, running coupling increases very slowly

•Atomic physics:  $Q^2 \sim 0$ 

 $1/\alpha = 137.03599976(50)$ 

•High energy physics:

$$1/\alpha(193\,{\rm GeV}) = 127.4\pm2.1$$

### Universität Zürich<sup>12H</sup> Running Coupling Constant

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

★ Remembering adding amplitudes, so can get negative interference and the sum can be smaller than the original diagram alone

**★** Bosonic loops "interfere negatively"

$$\alpha_{S}(Q^{2}) = \alpha_{S}(Q_{0}^{2}) / \left[1 + B\alpha_{S}(Q_{0}^{2}) \ln\left(\frac{Q^{2}}{Q_{0}^{2}}\right)\right]$$
with
$$B = \frac{11N_{c} - 2N_{f}}{12\pi} \begin{cases} N_{c} = \text{no. of colours} \\ N_{f} = \text{no. of quark flavours} \end{cases}$$

$$N_{c} = 3; N_{f} = 6 \implies B > 0$$

$$\implies \alpha_{S} \text{ decreases with } Q^{2} \qquad \text{Nobel Prize for Physics, 200} \\ \text{(Gross, Politzer, Wilczek)} \end{cases}$$

4

# Running Coupling Constant

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

**★** At low  $Q^2$ :  $\alpha_s$  is large, e.g. at  $Q^2 = 1 \,\text{GeV}^2$  find  $\alpha_s \sim 1$ 

•Can' t use perturbation theory ! This is the reason why QCD calculations at low energies are so difficult, e.g. properties hadrons, hadronisation of quarks to jets,...

★ At high 
$$Q^2$$
 :  $\alpha_s$  is rather small, e.g. at  $Q^2 = M_Z^2$  find  $\alpha_s \sim 0.12$   
→ Asymptotic Freedom

•Can use perturbation theory and this is the reason that in DIS at high  $Q^2$  quarks behave as if they are quasi-free (i.e. only weakly bound within hadrons)

Physik-Institut

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Running Coupling Constant

- ★ Superficially QCD very similar to QED
- **★** But gluon self-interactions are believed to result in colour confinement
- **★** All hadrons are colour singlets which explains why only observe

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

 $\alpha_S(100\,\mathrm{GeV})\sim 0.1$ 

Can use perturbation theory

Asymptotic Freedom

★ Where calculations can be performed, QCD provides a good description of relevant experimental data